- 1 [The R.M.C. 803 session was called to order at 0901,
- 2 **24 February 2023.**]
- 3 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: The commission is called to order.
- 4 Government, good morning.
- 5 TC [MR. O'SULLIVAN]: [No audio feed.] These proceedings are
- 6 being transmitted via CCTV to public viewing locations in the
- 7 United States pursuant to the commission's order in AE 028M dated 22
- 8 November 2019.
- 9 All of the following government personnel have the requisite
- 10 clearances for being in the courtroom and the Remote Hearing Room:
- Present at Guantanamo Bay are myself, Michael O'Sullivan;
- 12 Mr. John Wells; Lieutenant Commander Cherie Jolly; Major James
- 13 Garrett; Mr. Pascual Tavarez-Patin; Staff Sergeant Maria Young;
- 14 Mr. Forrest Parker Smith; Mr. Louie Marmo; Ms. Joleen Sanders, and a
- 15 representative from the JTF SJA's office.
- Present in the Remote Hearing Room in northern Virginia are
- 17 Lieutenant Commander Keven Schreiber, Major Michael Ross,
- 18 Major Stephen Romeo, Lieutenant Tess Schwartz, Captain Jonathan
- 19 Danielczyk, Master Sergeant Laura Speranza, Ms. Paige McLachlan,
- 20 Special Agent Paul Rude from the Transregional Criminal Investigation
- 21 Unit, Supervisory Special Agent Mary Sonnen, Staff Operations
- 22 Specialist Nicholas Chang, Staff Operations Specialist Jennifer
- 23 Drummond, and -- are all from the FBI. And from the FBI Office of

- 1 General Counsel, Ms. Katherine Eisenreich.
- 2 Staff Sergeant Jaune Daniels will be in and out also.
- 3 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Okay. All right.
- 4 Good morning, Defense.
- 5 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Good morning, Your Honor. I apologize. My
- 6 allergies seem to be kicking up, so I may have to, like, cough a
- 7 little. I apologize.
- 8 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: No worries.
- 9 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I feel healthy, so there's no COVID.
- 10 There's nothing. We're good.
- 11 Good morning, Your Honor. Anthony Natale on behalf of
- 12 Mr. Nashiri, who is not present today, and I understand executed a
- 13 waiver which I'm sure you'll deal with later. Also here is
- 14 Ms. Morgan, Lieutenant Commander Piette, Mr. Dolphin, and Ms. Carmon.
- 15 And LN Wood is not presently in but will be coming back and forth.
- In the RHR, there's Mr. Padilla, there's Lieutenant Colonel
- 17 Nettinga, there is Mr. Roosevelt Roy, there is Mr. David Bendernagel.
- 18 And I believe who will be -- people who will be coming in would be
- 19 Staff Sergeant McGuire and Ms. Manice Brown.
- 20 All of these individuals have the necessary clearance in
- 21 order to be present for all of these sessions.
- 22 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
- 23 Government?

- 1 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Good morning.
- 3 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Government calls a representative from the
- 4 JTF Legal Support Section. Please raise your right hand.
- 5 COMMANDER, U.S. Navy, was called as a witness for the prosecution,
- 6 was sworn, and testified as follows:
- 7 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Please have a seat.
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 Questions by the Assistant Trial Counsel [MAJ GARRETT]:
- 10 Q. Commander, do you work at the Office of the Staff Judge
- 11 Advocate for Joint Task Force-Guantanamo Bay?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. And did you have an opportunity this morning to meet and
- 14 speak with the accused, Mr. al Nashiri, regarding his rights to
- 15 attend this hearing?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. And did Mr. al Nashiri indicate whether he would attend
- 18 today's proceedings?
- 19 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. What did he communicate with you?
- 21 A. That he was declining to attend today.
- Q. Did he say anything to you?
- A. He did. He wanted me to make sure the court knew that he

- 1 was not attending because he was tired and that the van ride made him
- 2 feel ill.
- 3 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Your Honor, may I retrieve the statement
- 4 of understanding from the court reporter?
- 5 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You may, and you may move freely.
- 6 Q. Commander, I have in front of me a Statement of
- 7 Understanding of Right to be Present at Commission Proceedings
- 8 executed today, 24 February 2023, which has been marked as Appellate
- 9 Exhibit 375W. A copy has been provided to the defense.
- 10 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You may.
- 12 Q. Commander, I've presented to you what has been marked as
- 13 Appellate Exhibit 375W. Do you recognize this form?
- 14 A. I do.
- 15 Q. Did you use this form to communicate to Mr. al Nashiri his
- 16 right to attend the proceedings?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what was his election whether to attend today?
- 19 A. Not to attend.
- 20 Q. Did he communicate anything else to you?
- 21 A. Other than what I mentioned previously, no.
- 22 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Your Honor, I have nothing further.
- 23 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Retrieve the document.

- 1 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Retrieving the document.
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Defense, any questions?
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. NATALE]:
- 5 Q. Sir, what you reported he said was this -- were those his
- 6 exact words or that's the gist of what he said?
- 7 A. Those were exact words.
- 8 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Any redirect, Government?
- 10 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: No, Your Honor.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. You may step down, and you're
- 12 excused.
- 13 [The witness was excused and withdrew from the courtroom.]
- 14 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Government, anything else?
- 15 ATC [MAJ GARRETT]: Your Honor, we'd just ask that you make a
- 16 finding that the accused made a knowing and voluntarily waive of his
- 17 right to attend these proceedings today.
- 18 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Defense?
- 19 LDC [MR. NATALE]: No objection.
- 20 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. The commission finds that
- 21 Mr. Nashiri's voluntarily waived his right to be present today.
- 22 Government?
- 23 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, Your Honor. We're prepared to

- 1 call Ms. -- Special Agent Sonnen, if you're ready to go.
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Yes, I am.
- 3 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Outstanding, sir. The government calls
- 4 Supervisory Special Agent Mary Sonnen.
- If you'd go ahead and stand right there and raise your right
- 6 hand.
- 7 SUPERVISORY SPECIAL AGENT MARY SONNEN, civilian, was called as a
- 8 witness for the prosecution, was sworn, and testified as follows:
- 9 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Please have a seat.
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 Questions by the Assistant Trial Counsel [LCDR SCHREIBER]:
- 12 Q. And you are Supervisory Special Agent Mary Sonnen, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So in our discussion today, I don't intend to elicit any
- 15 classified information. I don't think we're going to need a closed
- 16 session. But if there's anything that either I or defense counsel
- 17 asks you that might elicit a classified answer, just let us know and
- 18 we'll deal with it then.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. And ----
- 21 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Sir, we're having a microphone problem,
- 22 so he's going to fix this right now.
- 23 WIT: Okay. Yes.

- 1 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Great. That's better.
- 2 Q. Okay. Special Agent Sonnen, what is your present
- 3 employment? Who is your employer?
- 4 A. The FBI.
- 5 Q. Okay. And how long have you been with the FBI?
- 6 A. Since June 1st, 2004. About 18 and a half years.
- 7 Q. And are you the case agent currently assigned to the
- 8 U.S. v. Nashiri case?
- 9 A. I am.
- 10 Q. And how long have you served in that capacity?
- 11 A. Since August of 2022.
- 12 Q. All right. I just want to briefly cover your background.
- 13 What's your educational background?
- 14 A. I have a bachelor's degree in biology and a master's
- 15 degree in curriculum and instruction from Penn State University.
- Q. And before you joined the FBI, did you work for anybody
- 17 else?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. What did you do?
- 20 A. I was a school teacher. I taught high school biology.
- 21 Q. So then why the shift from teaching high school biology to
- 22 joining the FBI?
- 23 A. I had been teaching for about ten years, and my boss at

- 1 the time, the vice principal at the school, had been an FBI agent.
- 2 And he told me that he thought I would do well in that position and
- 3 recommended that I consider it. It was after 9/11, and I was
- 4 inspired, like many, to make a career change, and I applied to the
- 5 FBI and was accepted.
- Q. All right. So like every other FBI agent, you did some
- 7 training out at Quantico, right?
- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. Can you give the judge just the wavetops of your FBI
- 10 career, then, after training up to this point.
- 11 A. Sure. My first office of assignment was in Pittsburgh,
- 12 Pennsylvania, where I was assigned in 2005 to the Joint Terrorism
- 13 Task Force. I was employed there for three years, investigating
- 14 counterterrorism matters and also as a member of the Evidence
- 15 Response Team.
- I was transferred to the Philadelphia division, Williamsport
- 17 Resident Agency, in approximately 2008. There it was a smaller
- 18 office, only eight agents, and I worked criminal matters, to include
- 19 investigations of crimes against children, as well as
- 20 counterterrorism matters and general criminal violations.
- 21 Following that, in 2011 I transferred to the Washington
- 22 Field Office where I worked on the Attorney General's protection
- 23 detail. I was promoted in 2012 to supervisory special agent and

- 1 transferred to Quantico, Virginia, where I was instructor at the
- 2 FBI Academy in interviewing and interrogation for new agent trainees
- 3 as well as the National Academy Chiefs of Police from around the
- 4 world.
- 5 In 2015 I transferred to the national Joint Terrorism Task
- 6 Force in the Counterterrorism Division at headquarters for the FBI.
- 7 I was promoted again in 2018 to GS-15 watch commander at the 24-hour
- 8 Counterterrorism Watch Center and briefly detailed back as the chief
- 9 of the national Joint Terrorism Task Force.
- 10 I remained at C-T Watch, the Counterterrorism Watch Center,
- 11 until August of 2022, when I transferred to the Military Commissions
- 12 Prosecution Unit where I am now.
- 13 Q. On -- at one point, you talked about being an instructor
- 14 in interrogation and crime scene procedures and things like that.
- 15 You said you gave training at the academy. Did you give anywhere
- 16 else to any other entities and folks, specifically foreign training?
- 17 A. I did. I taught internationally in Amman, Jordan; Kabul,
- 18 Afghanistan; Rwanda, Ethiopia, a variety of international locations
- 19 to police in those countries.
- 20 Q. Now, in your role as the case agent for this case, what do
- 21 you do?
- 22 A. I support the prosecution. I work on any investigative
- 23 leads that we might have. I organize documents and evidence. I'm

- 1 responsible for those. I look for FBI equities in documents for
- 2 discovery. And generally support the prosecution's needs, serving
- 3 subpoenas and the like.
- 4 Q. Now, is it just you or do you have a team that works with
- 5 you?
- 6 A. We have a team.
- 7 Q. And in this role as the case agent, do you have
- 8 the -- access to the full resources of the FBI to do the things you
- 9 need to do?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. Okay. As part of your work for this case, were you asked
- 12 to locate Yemeni persons who were interviewed as part of this
- 13 investigation back in the 2000-2001 time frame?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, I'd like to show the
- 16 witness what has been previously marked Appellate Exhibit 319DDD.
- 17 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You may.
- 18 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: I've handed the document to the
- 19 witness. And, Your Honor, I don't intend to publish on the ELMO.
- 20 The team down there should have a copy for you if you'd like it. The
- 21 court reporters already have it as well. We didn't clear it through
- 22 the CISO, but we have a copy for you if you'd like?
- 23 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: For display, you mean? You didn't clear it

- 1 for display?
- 2 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Correct, didn't clear for display. I
- 3 could put it on the ELMO for nondisplay, but we have a copy for you.
- 4 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Okay. The -- go ahead. I'm going to let
- 5 your partner down here bring it up to me.
- ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: We'll have one other document that in
- 7 the same situation, that we have a hard copy for you.
- 8 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Thank you.
- 9 Q. Special Agent Sonnen, referring to that Appellate Exhibit
- 10 319DDD that you have in front of you, do you recognize that?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. What is it?
- 13 A. It's a list of Yemeni witnesses that were interviewed by
- 14 the FBI in 2000 and 2001.
- 15 O. Okay. So you've seen that list before?
- 16 A. I have.
- 17 O. Okay. Does that list represent the Yemeni individuals
- 18 that you've been seeking?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, to start off with, were you given that entire list
- 21 right off the bat when you started doing your investigation?
- 22 A. I received groups of names at different points.
- Q. Okay. But does that list represent the entirety of the

- 1 list of folks you'd been searching for?
- 2 A. It does.
- 3 Q. And we're going to refer to that a little bit, again,
- 4 later, so if you could just push that to the side, and we'll leave
- 5 that there in front of you.
- 6 A. Sure.
- 7 Q. So, in fact, before you took -- before you started this
- 8 process looking for these folks, it was actually Special Agent
- 9 Kellerman who did some work on it, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. When he was the case agent?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you review the work that he did?
- 14 A. I did.
- 15 Q. And did you review his prior testimony about the -- about
- 16 this issue?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How about other sort of older actions to try and
- 19 find -- or find Yemeni persons or get cooperation from Yemenis? Did
- 20 you review historical documents that were on point for this -- this
- 21 matter?
- 22 A. I did.
- Q. Okay. So when you were first assigned as case agent and

- 1 tasked to track down some of these Yemeni persons, what's the first
- 2 thing that you did when you got the list of names?
- 3 A. I reviewed the FD-302 report of the interview that the FBI
- 4 conducted at the time for any sort of identifying data, biographical
- 5 data about the individual, to include variations on the name, a date
- 6 of birth, a year of birth, an address, or any other identifiers that
- 7 were notated in the FD-302s.
- 8 Q. Okay. How about potentially, like, Yemeni ID numbers or
- 9 anything like that? Did you gather some of those as well?
- 10 A. I was able to gather those from the case file, yes.
- 11 O. Okay. For some individuals?
- 12 A. For some, not all.
- 13 Q. So after your review of the 302, gathering the -- any
- 14 biographical data that might be present in the 302 or other
- 15 documents, what did you first -- what are the steps you took with
- 16 that initial bit of information?
- 17 A. So we organized that in groups, as you had said before,
- 18 and provided it to representatives from the Customs and Border
- 19 Protection and the Department of State, who are members of the FBI's
- 20 National Joint Terrorism Task Force, to see if they could do some
- 21 general searches as to whether any of these individuals were in their
- 22 databases.
- 23 Q. Okay. So I want to talk about each one of those briefly.

- 1 Okay.
- 2 First, you said that you gave the list of names and partial
- 3 biographical information -- or whatever you had, anyway -- to Customs
- 4 and Border Protection. What kinds of things can they check for you?
- 5 A. They can check for border crossings into or out of the
- 6 United States as well as potentially the name matching something on a
- 7 cargo shipment entering the United States.
- 8 Q. So, like, anytime you come into the United States with a
- 9 passport, they're tracking that. They've got those names and that
- 10 information?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Going off of that initial list of just names and the
- 13 biographical information you could uncover at the beginning, was the
- 14 Customs and Border Protection folks that you talked to, were they
- 15 able to find any of those names, any potential hits?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Okay. You said you reached out to the State Department
- 18 with the similar -- the same list and partial biographical
- 19 information, whatever we had, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And what kinds of things can the State Department check
- 22 for you?
- 23 A. The State Department can check for visa applications,

- 1 either B-1 visitor visas or diversity visas to see if any of these
- 2 individuals might have made an application to enter the United States
- 3 on the visa.
- 4 Q. And that might tell us also, not necessarily that they
- 5 were in the United States, but from where they applied, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Just going off of that initial list of names with the
- 8 initial biographical data, was the State Department able to find
- 9 anybody or have any potential hits?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Now, we've heard in past testimony from Special
- 12 Agent Kellerman this concept of, like, a formal versus an informal
- 13 request to the State Department for this kind of assistance. Can you
- 14 talk about that and whether you pursued one of those avenues or the
- 15 other?
- 16 A. Yes. So this request that I made to the State Department
- 17 was an informal request in the sense that it was a preview, a look at
- 18 whether there were any potential positive matches in State Department
- 19 databases, and it -- there were none.
- If there had been something found, even a potential match,
- 21 we could have submitted a formal request to the State Department for
- 22 an official record of whatever that visa application might have been.
- 23 Q. So it's not like they were doing different searching, it's

- 1 just the formal request would give you back formal documents and
- 2 things?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So if there's no potential hits, is there a reason
- 5 to do a formal request for documents?
- A. No, there would be nothing to request, nothing for them to
- 7 provide.
- 8 Q. Okay. So we've talked about that you took that initial
- 9 information and you talked to Customs and Border Protection, State
- 10 Department. What else did you do with those initial list of names
- 11 and biographical information?
- 12 A. So we also looked through public source and open source
- 13 databases.
- 14 Q. Yeah. So I want pause you there. Can you describe the
- 15 difference between public source and open source searches?
- 16 A. Sure. A public source database would be a paid-for,
- 17 commercially available service. These are companies that aggregate
- 18 available data through public records, including driver's licenses,
- 19 real estate transaction records, things of that nature.
- 20 Open source, on the other hand, would be your traditional
- 21 Google or Bing-type search, just on the open Internet that's free and
- 22 available to anyone to search.
- 23 Q. So separating those two, did you take that initial list of

- 1 names and basic biographical information and do some open-source
- 2 checking to see if you could find any of these folks?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So that's just Google searches and the like?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you also use that same list and try public-source
- 7 database searches?
- 8 A. We did.
- 9 Q. Using those two tools, did you have any possible hits?
- 10 A. We did. We had some partial matches to names and
- 11 variations of the names.
- 12 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. So did you have -- you have any -- I'm
- 13 sorry.
- 14 You said you had hits on variations of the names. Was there
- 15 other information attached to those names that you found?
- 16 A. Yes. Sometimes there was an address in the United States
- 17 or a partial address, even a U.S. phone number associated with those
- 18 matches, or a more complete date of birth. If we entered the year of
- 19 birth, it might give us the month and the date.
- 20 Q. Now, once you had done some public and open-source
- 21 searches and found some of these potential hits with additional
- 22 information, did you resubmit those potential hits to Customs and
- 23 Border Protection and the State Department?

- 1 A. We did.
- Q. Okay. Using the now maybe additional information, has
- 3 Customs and Border Patrol or the Department of State -- or Border
- 4 Protection, excuse me, or the Department of State, had any success in
- 5 locating any of these individuals?
- 6 A. No. They still came up with negative results.
- 7 Q. Now, you noted earlier that you found some Yemeni ID
- 8 numbers for some of the declarants in some of the documents you
- 9 looked at.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What did you do with those?
- 12 A. So very little that we can do with those besides Googling
- 13 them, a string of numbers. And it was not productive. It didn't
- 14 come up with any other hits.
- 15 Q. Okay. So you don't have access to, like, a Yemeni ID
- 16 database or anything like that, right?
- 17 A. I don't.
- 18 Q. Right. Because that would be in their country?
- 19 A. Certainly.
- 20 Q. How about enlisting the help of a native Arabic speaker to
- 21 search some of these names? Have you done that?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23 Q. And was that -- what kinds of things was that person able

- 1 to search with their specialty in the Arabic language?
- 2 A. So she was able to transliterate the name into Arabic and
- 3 search on Arabic-language websites, either through an Arabic version
- 4 of Google or any foreign-language newspapers or open sources, using
- 5 the name variants and whatever partial identifiers we had, but in
- 6 Arabic.
- 7 Q. Okay. And was she able to find any potential matches,
- 8 potential hits in her searches?
- 9 A. She did. She found some partial name matches to people
- 10 who were similar to the names on our list.
- 11 Q. So for the instances in which you've had some hits, some
- 12 of these potential open-source -- an address or a phone number or
- 13 things like that on the foreign language, are you and your team
- 14 continuing to run those down to see if those lead to one of these
- 15 individuals?
- 16 A. We are.
- 17 O. Okay. I want to talk about just a couple of them as
- 18 examples of the work that you guys have done and are doing. The
- 19 first one I want to touch on is just actually an odd one from the
- 20 documents. Did you -- do you remember -- excuse me.
- 21 Are you familiar with the name Hassan Ali al Mansour?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. Is that somebody that you all initially did some

- 1 searches for?
- 2 A. We did.
- 3 Q. Are you going to be doing any more searches for that
- 4 person?
- 5 A. We are not.
- 6 Q. Okay. Why did you do the searches initially?
- 7 A. You asked us to. His name was on a list of potential
- 8 declarants to be offered for this hearing.
- 9 Q. All right. Is it your understanding that person is
- 10 not -- the statement is not being offered?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. True.
- 14 Q. So you won't be searching for him anymore?
- 15 A. We will not.
- 16 Q. Okay. There were, though, some things that you found by
- 17 that name, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Let's talk about one of the folks that is one of
- 20 the declarants the government's offered. Are you familiar with
- 21 Mohammad Abdallah Ismaiel al Najar?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What kinds of things did you find for that declarant

- 1 on -- in your searches?
- 2 A. So an address, a full date of birth that was similar to
- 3 the year of birth that I believe we had, an expired driver's license
- 4 in California, I believe.
- 5 Q. Again, though, no Customs and Border Protection or State
- 6 Department hits though, right?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Given that you -- you just said you had an address in
- 9 California or a -- excuse me, an indication he was in California, are
- 10 you planning to just go knock on the door?
- 11 A. No. You know, the techniques that we use in the FBI for
- 12 investigations, we generally work from least intrusive to most
- 13 intrusive techniques. It would be very intrusive to go knock on a
- 14 door when I don't have solid information that that person is
- 15 identifiable with the individual that I'm seeking. So we'll do
- 16 further work to try to validate whether that person could possibly be
- 17 him before we would escalate to a more intrusive technique on -- on
- 18 someone's privacy.
- 19 Q. Now, you did say, though, that you found a phone number
- 20 for this -- or a phone number attached to this name through
- 21 open-source searching, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Excuse me. Open or public source.

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. How many phone numbers did you find in the United States
- 3 that might potentially be attached to these names?
- 4 A. About 16.
- 5 Q. Have you called those numbers? Are you going to call
- 6 those numbers?
- 7 A. Our team did call those numbers.
- 8 Q. How recently did they call the phone numbers?
- 9 A. Last Friday.
- 10 Q. Were any of the phone numbers that you tracked down -- did
- 11 you determine that those were attached to these individuals?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Were there any -- what was -- let me just ask the question
- 14 this way.
- 15 What happened when you called some of those numbers? I
- 16 mean, did they answer? Anything at all?
- 17 A. The majority of the numbers that the team called were
- 18 either disconnected or this number is no longer in service. On the
- 19 occasion when someone did answer the telephone, it was wrong number.
- 20 Q. All right. So for the foreign language work, looking on
- 21 foreign websites and using Arabic -- an Arabic-language expert or
- 22 native speaker, what kinds of information has she been able to find?
- 23 Just in terms of, like, contact information, potentially.

- 1 A. So she found some open-source news articles, some
- 2 references to a person's occupation, and that person matched the name
- 3 of the person we were looking at, things of that nature.
- Q. We're going to talk in specific about a few of those, but
- 5 how about phone numbers? Did she find any phone numbers attached to
- 6 any of these names?
- 7 A. Yes, she did.
- 8 Q. Okay. And are you going to be calling those phone
- 9 numbers?
- 10 A. We will, certainly.
- 11 Q. Will you be calling from the United States? How do you
- 12 intend to do that?
- 13 A. No, I -- my best thought on how to do that is through the
- 14 assistant legal attaché office in Riyadh where there's more likely to
- 15 be a native speaker and where the call would be more local, from
- 16 Saudi Arabia to Yemen. So it might be more likely that someone would
- 17 pick up if that phone were to be a valid phone number and the
- 18 connection were to be made.
- 19 Q. I want to talk about just a couple of these folks, a few
- 20 person -- folks where the foreign-language efforts found the name of
- 21 the declarant in some of these open sources.
- 22 Are you familiar with what was found about Atiq Said Saleh?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And what was found in the foreign-language searching for
- 2 that name?
- 3 A. I can't remember exactly what was found. I believe it was
- 4 an occupation, but I don't remember what his occupation was.
- 5 Q. Would it help you to review the notes of that to remember
- 6 what was found about him?
- 7 A. It would.
- 8 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, permission to show the
- 9 witness what's been previously marked Appellate Exhibit 319FFF,
- 10 page 96.
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You may.
- 12 Q. I'd direct your attention to the fourth paragraph from the
- 13 bottom.
- 14 [The witness reviewed the evidence.]
- 15 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Retrieving the document.
- 16 Q. Did that refresh your memory about what was found out
- 17 about Atiq Said Saleh?
- 18 A. Yes, it did.
- 19 O. And what was found?
- 20 A. There was an article or some kind of an open-source
- 21 listing with his occupation as the general manager of a municipality
- 22 in Yemen.
- 23 Q. Same question in term -- for a Mr. Aabed Mohammad Mohammad

- 1 al Thawr. Do you remember what was found about him?
- 2 A. Yes. This -- this same name matched a possible hit on a
- 3 brigadier general in the Yemeni Army.
- 4 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Can I get the name again, Counsel?
- 5 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, sir. It's declarant number 102,
- 6 Aabed Mohammad Mohammad al Thawr.
- 7 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Excuse me, Your Honor. Would it be
- 8 possible that we could get the numbers so we can track them?
- 9 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Yes, I -- that would be helpful.
- Government, can you ----
- 11 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Aye, sir. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 12 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- Mr. Saleh, what was his number?
- 13 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, sir. Let me -- in fact, let me
- 14 just back up, then I can cover these for the record real quick.
- 15 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: The first was Hassan Ali al Mansour.
- 16 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes. And so he's not a numbered person
- 17 but he's ----
- 18 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Right.
- 19 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: ---- in the discovery so I wanted to
- 20 make sure we mentioned him.
- 21 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Right. Thank you. I just went back to the
- 22 first name in my notes.
- 23 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, sir. The next one is number 33,

- 1 Mohammad Abdallah Ismaiel al Najar.
- 2 Then we just -- number 69, Atiq Said Saleh. And then
- 3 number 102.
- And we've got one more.
- 5 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Right. So Atiq Said Saleh was listed as a
- 6 municipal manager -- municipal manager for ----
- 7 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, sir.
- 8 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- a district?
- 9 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Correct.
- 10 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: A province in -- was it a district?
- 11 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: It's indicated as a municipality,
- 12 right?
- WIT: Yes.
- 14 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Municipality.
- 15 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yeah. Good to go, sir?
- 16 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Yes.
- 17 O. And then the last one I wanted to ask you about as an
- 18 example, number 17, Mohammad Nagi Fadel. Are you familiar with what
- 19 was found related to that name in some of the open-source searches?
- 20 A. Yes. The linguist found an article or an obituary-type
- 21 reference indicating he was deceased.
- 22 O. Okay. So somebody by that name is deceased; not
- 23 necessarily this guy, but somebody by that name?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. So in terms of these -- these are just a sampling of some
- 3 of the hits, but in terms of these hits that you've made in searching
- 4 foreign-language, Arabic open source, are you going to attempt to
- 5 close those loops as well, run down those leads, if it's possible?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. And we talked a lot about sort of online and database
- 8 work, picking up the phone, things like that. Have you inquired with
- 9 the government about just going to Yemen and going on the ground and
- 10 trying to find these people?
- 11 A. I have.
- 12 Q. Who did you reach out to?
- 13 A. So I reached out through the assistant legal attaché for
- 14 the FBI in Riyadh who contacted the regional security officer for the
- 15 State Department at the embassy in Riyadh, inquiring about what it
- 16 might take to travel to Yemen.
- 17 Q. And what did you learn from -- in terms of the approval
- 18 process for State Department?
- 19 A. I learned that it would be a very lengthy approval
- 20 process. It would require the approval of at least an Under
- 21 Secretary of State level. I learned that it would have to match or
- 22 align with a high-priority U.S. Government policy requirement. I
- 23 also learned that the request would be denied outright if there was

- 1 any sort of combat or hostility, conflict in the area where I was
- 2 requesting to travel.
- 3 Q. Okay. Is there currently a U.S. embassy in Yemen?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. So it sounds like it's possible, theoretically, to travel
- 6 to Yemen, in theory, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In your opinion, in terms of the investigation you're
- 9 conducting, is that a practical step to take?
- 10 A. I don't believe it's a practical step to take, in my
- 11 opinion.
- 12 Q. Okay. So if we're not going to go to Yemen, has the FBI
- 13 tried to reach out to the government of Yemen for their assistance?
- 14 A. We have.
- 15 O. And so how does that work? What is the -- what does it
- 16 mean to reach out to the government of Yemen?
- 17 A. Well, we're reaching out through, again, the assistant
- 18 legal attaché and the legal attaché in Riyadh for any Yemeni
- 19 government official who may be detailed or assigned or seated somehow
- 20 at the U.S. embassy in Riyadh.
- 21 Q. Now, this has been an ongoing effort -- right? -- to try
- 22 and ----
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. ---- get in touch with the Yemeni government, have them
- 2 help us?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you review some of the historical efforts?
- 5 A. I did.
- Q. About how -- how long back does our -- do our efforts go,
- 7 sort of in recent times, anyway, to try and get them to help us with
- 8 the investigation?
- 9 A. Since at least 2015.
- 10 Q. Okay. And specifically with regard to this list and this
- 11 testimony that we've been doing the past few weeks, how long have the
- 12 efforts specific to this been going on?
- 13 A. For this list specifically, since 2019.
- Q. Okay. Has your team ever received an official reply from
- 15 anybody in the government of Yemen about your request for assistance?
- 16 A. We did receive an official reply.
- 17 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, I'm going to show the
- 18 witness Appellate Exhibit 319CCC.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You may. And you may move freely, Counsel,
- 20 if I didn't say it before. Just let me -- just narrate your actions
- 21 is all.
- 22 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, sir.
- 23 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Thank you.

- 1 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, sir.
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: This is 319CCC?
- 3 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: That's correct, sir.
- 4 Q. Special Agent Sonnen, do you recognize that document?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. And what is that?
- 7 A. So this is a memorandum from the Republic of Yemen
- 8 Ministry of Interior.
- 9 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: And, Your Honor, I think we had a copy
- 10 of that for you as well if you don't already have it ----
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: [Indicated].
- 12 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: ---- in front of you. Great.
- 13 Q. So what did the Ministry of the Interior tell us in that
- 14 letter?
- 15 A. Essentially they tell us that they cannot help us with our
- 16 investigation because all of the documents are in the control of the
- 17 terrorist Houthi militia.
- 18 Q. Is that the only official response we've received so far
- 19 from the government of Yemen?
- 20 A. It is.
- Q. With regard to this matter, anyway?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So without being specific in this setting about specific

- 1 countries or specific government agencies -- that is, foreign
- 2 government agencies -- has the FBI reached out to foreign government
- 3 agencies to try and get their assistance with finding these
- 4 individuals?
- 5 A. We have.
- 6 Q. Have any of those efforts been fruitful?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. In fact, have a lot of those countries just refused to do
- 9 anything?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And would you -- in your review of the records, would you
- 12 describe those attempts to reach out to foreign government agencies
- 13 as, like, something you've done very recently or that's a more
- 14 historical effort of the FBI team?
- 15 A. More historic.
- 16 Q. Okay. So going back to that list that you still have in
- 17 front of you, the 319DDD, has the FBI taken these efforts that you've
- 18 described to locate those individuals?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And when seeking those individuals, have you had the full
- 21 resources of the FBI at your disposal?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. That said, have you had access to the full biographical

- 1 and identifying information that you might have if you were trying to
- 2 find somebody, a U.S. citizen in the United States?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. So would it have been helpful to have additional
- 5 biographical information like a solid address or fingerprints or a
- 6 photo, those sorts of things?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Would it have been helpful if those things had been
- 9 collected at the time these interviews were conducted with these
- 10 individuals?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that that was possible?
- 13 A. It was not possible.
- 14 Q. Okay. Again, looking at that list, 319DDD, we've talked
- 15 about the fact that there were a few potential hits, like, some more
- 16 information. Are you and your team going to try and run those down
- 17 and see if they lead to any of those individuals?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. This is an ongoing effort, right?
- 20 A. It is.
- Q. And it's going to continue until you've done all the
- 22 practical logical steps, right?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Is your intent to find these people?
- 2 A. Of course.
- 3 Q. So up to today -- just to put a nail in it, has the FBI up
- 4 to today been able to find positively any of the people on that list?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, that's all I have.
- 7 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Defense, cross?
- 8 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Yes.
- 9 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Hold on a second, he's going to
- 10 come -- you'll see him on your screen when he speaks. It's going to
- 11 be from down here. Go ahead.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 Questions by the Learned Defense Counsel [MR. NATALE]:
- 14 Q. Special Agent Sonnen, I am not going to ask you any
- 15 questions that I think could elicit classified information; however,
- 16 if the truthful and honest answer to a question I ask would require
- 17 that, please let us know and not answer that question in this public
- 18 setting.
- Does that make sense to you?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Now, I'd like to go through -- one of the things you
- 22 mentioned is that you're an instructor in interviewing and collecting
- 23 evidence; is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that would be for criminal prosecution, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. And that the FBI is oftentimes involved in criminal
- 5 prosecutions?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that there are -- that there are certain protocols
- 8 that -- actually, you have a form. I think it's called a 302?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And they have -- that's where reports are made, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And when you're doing an interview, it would be important
- 13 to confirm the identity of the person that you're talking to?
- 14 A. Yes, it's important.
- 15 Q. And it would be important to gather information that would
- 16 allow you to confirm whether what they say or who they say they are
- 17 actually is?
- 18 A. Yes, that's also important.
- By the way, I can't see you. I don't know if I'm supposed
- 20 to see you.
- 21 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: It would be -- it would be -- no, no, it
- 22 would -- it is supposed to happen that way, so let's just pause for a
- 23 second until the technical folks make that happen for us, please.

- 1 WIT: I can see.
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Okay. Good to go.
- 3 Q. I think I ended with it would be important to have the
- 4 manner and means to confirm who the person was.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the reason for that, in part, would be if this person
- 7 later was -- excuse me -- to be called as a witness?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that oftentimes, one may not know if or when someone
- 10 would be called as a witness?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And that as a result, that and other identifying
- 13 information is essential to have recorded at the time?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And that -- and oftentimes ----
- 16 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Oh, thank you.
- 17 O. Oftentimes -- and I think you said, the best practice
- 18 would be when you were doing it -- doing the interview?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, in this case, you were not in Yemen?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You were not present for any of these interviews?
- 23 A. No.

- 1 Q. And did you interview each of the individual interrogators
- 2 or investigators in this case?
- 3 A. I wouldn't say I interviewed them, no.
- 4 Q. Did you speak with them?
- 5 A. I have spoken with some of them as they've been witnesses
- 6 for this case.
- 7 Q. Have you read any of their testimony?
- 8 A. I have.
- 9 Q. And when you said that they were not allowed to get
- 10 certain information, that is based on what they told you, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, and the restrictions in the Bodine memorandum.
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, as far as the restrictions, are you saying
- 13 that the restrictions in the Bodine memorandum specifically said you
- 14 cannot get the identification of the person you're interviewing?
- 15 A. No, I'm not saying that.
- 16 Q. Are you saying that the Bodine memorandum said that you
- 17 couldn't get the address or date of birth of the person you're
- 18 interviewing?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Are you saying that all of the normal things that one
- 21 would want -- the address of the person, that that is something that
- they were prohibited from asking because of the Bodine memorandum?
- 23 A. No.

- 1 Q. As it relates to identifying information in the present
- 2 and for future purposes, is there anything in the Bodine memorandum
- 3 that prevented the investigators in this case from collecting that
- 4 information?
- 5 A. I don't know precisely, but I do know that it placed
- 6 limitations on the nature of the interview and how it would be
- 7 conducted, which seems to have prohibited the agents from collecting
- 8 all the information they might have wanted to collect at the time.
- 9 Q. That's not my question.
- 10 A. I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. My question is: Did the Bodine memorandum expressly
- 12 prevent them from collecting the identifying information that I've
- 13 been asking you about?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. Did you ever inquire to these people you interviewed if
- 16 the Bodine memorandum expressly prohibited the collection of this
- 17 information?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. At any time, did you ask these individuals, look, when you
- 20 were doing this, how did you expect that we could call them as a
- 21 witness in the future? Did you ever ask them that?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. And when you spoke to them, it was part of your task to

- 1 try to locate these people, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And that would be a logical thing to find out?
- A. I'm sorry, what would be?
- 5 Q. What would be -- whether or not the information that they
- 6 were -- that this information which would be standard, was prohibited
- 7 by the Bodine memo?
- 8 A. I'm still not sure I understand your question. I'm sorry.
- 9 Q. Let me try it more simply.
- Did you ask the individuals who you spoke with whether the
- 11 Bodine memorandum prevented them from collecting this identifying
- 12 information?
- 13 A. I did not.
- 14 Q. Now, if I understand, you first came onto this case in
- 15 August 22nd?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Excuse me. August of 2022.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And as the case agent?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And was one of your responsibilities to use your knowledge
- 22 and your expertise in order to locate the people who are contained in
- 23 what has been identified as AE 319DDD?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And am I correct to say that one of the things that you
- 3 would have done in the very beginning is to do a thorough and
- 4 complete search of all FBI documents relating to the individuals that
- 5 are contained in 319DDD?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that you did that, right?
- 8 A. I did. It's still ongoing, but I have.
- 9 Q. And in going through that, did you learn that in 2000 and
- 10 2001 and 2003, that the actions of the FBI and other investigators
- 11 was to gather evidence for a criminal prosecution?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that their task was, in part, to collect the evidence
- 14 that would be necessary to identify suspects, right?
- 15 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. It's asked and answered at
- 16 this point. We've covered this already.
- 17 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Defense?
- 18 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Judge, I'm asking my questions ----
- 19 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: No, I understand that. I think he's saying
- 20 that you've asked ----
- 21 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Oh, I thought he was saying ----
- 22 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- it before.
- LDC [MR. NATALE]: Fine. If I asked it before, let me ask you

- 1 this.
- 2 Q. What about the -- the witnesses?
- 3 A. I'm sorry, sir?
- 4 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Yeah, I think you need to put a complete
- 5 sentence with that because I'm not sure she knows what you're talking
- 6 about.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let's try to do this.
- 8 Based on all of the investigation that you did to find out
- 9 what was done in the past, did that include possible suspects?
- 10 A. I'm not sure I understand the question ----
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I don't understand the question either.
- 12 A. ---- "possible suspects."
- 13 Q. Your job is to identify people, correct?
- 14 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Hold on. I think you need to take a step
- 15 back and form your questions. I don't know what you're asking.
- 16 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Okay. Let's try it again from the very
- 17 beginning.
- 18 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Are you asking about her job right now as
- 19 the case agent to identify witnesses or to identify suspects?
- 20 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I'm trying to go backwards. Originally I
- 21 asked was it her understanding that the people doing the
- 22 interrogation ----
- 23 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: The questions that we've talked about with

- 1 the interviews of these witnesses?
- 2 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Right, that their task was, in part, to
- 3 find possible suspects and witnesses for a criminal prosecution.
- 4 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Okay.
- 5 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Was that her understanding?
- 6 WIT: Yes.
- 7 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You can answer that question.
- 8 Yes. Her answer is yes.
- 9 Q. And would that also have included information necessary to
- 10 call these people, if possible, at a future date?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now, from 2000 up to the present, based on what you looked
- 13 at, did you find at any time, 2001, 2002, 2003, anytime, that the
- 14 government tried to reconnect with any of the people who are listed
- in Appellate Exhibit 319DDD?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. And which ones did they try to locate and in what year?
- 18 A. All of them, and most recently, this list, 2019.
- 19 Q. My question is: Prior to your list and your action on the
- 20 historical data that you reviewed, did you find documents that
- 21 related to efforts to maintain contact with the witnesses that are
- 22 contained in AE 319DDD?
- 23 A. I wouldn't characterize it as maintain contact, but I

- 1 would say that we, prior to my efforts here, attempted to locate
- 2 them.
- 3 Q. Okay. Could you tell me what individuals and on what
- 4 dates and what efforts were taken to locate them?
- 5 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. It's not relevant to their
- 6 availability currently, which is what we're here to establish.
- 7 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Overruled. I'll allow it.
- 8 When -- when did you try to find these -- when were the
- 9 efforts to try to find these individuals? You've mentioned 2019.
- 10 Was there any effort prior to 2019 that you found in your review of
- 11 these -- of the information to where ----
- 12 WIT: Yes.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- the FBI or the government or the
- 14 United States was trying to contact these witnesses and, if so, when?
- 15 WIT: Yes, sir. There were efforts prior to and including
- 16 2019. I don't know the exact dates of all of those efforts or the
- 17 exact names of all of those efforts, but I do know that in 2019, this
- 18 list of names, there was an effort made to locate them.
- 19 Q. Just for clarification, I'm not asking about 2019. I'm
- 20 asking for prior to 2019.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Are you saying under oath that there are documents that
- 23 show that they tried to locate people that are on this list?

- 1 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. Argumentative and asked and
- 2 answered.
- 3 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Overruled.
- A. To the best of my recollection, from my review of the case
- 5 file, yes.
- 6 Q. But you don't recall what witnesses or at what time?
- 7 A. I don't recall that precisely, no.
- 8 Q. Do you recall what efforts were taken prior to 2019?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What ----
- 11 A. Database ----
- 12 Q. What were those efforts?
- 13 A. Database searches, as I've previously described.
- 14 Inquiring of other foreign countries whether they could be of any
- 15 assistance in locating the individuals. Things of that nature that
- 16 I've previously described.
- 17 O. The searches that you're talking, did they include in the
- 18 Arabic language or purely English?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. Do you know if these activities which you say occurred
- 21 prior to 2019 were the same as what you have conducted since 2019?
- 22 A. I don't know that they were the same, but they were
- 23 similar.

- 1 Q. Would you say that it was a thorough attempt?
- 2 A. As compared to ----
- 3 Q. What you've done.
- A. --- the attempt that I'm making?
- 5 Q. Yeah.
- A. I think I'm making a more thorough attempt.
- 7 Q. Did you inquire as to why or who made those prior
- 8 attempts?
- 9 A. Inquire of whom? I ----
- 10 Q. The people who wrote the documents that you're referring
- 11 to.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Who of those people did you talk to and say, hey, I
- 14 saw something in this thing that in 19 whatever, 2000 whatever, you
- 15 did this. Can you tell me more about that?
- 16 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. Not relevant to their
- 17 availability currently or for a future trial.
- 18 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Your Honor, if I may?
- 19 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Please.
- 20 LDC [MR. NATALE]: The reason why it's relevant, because the
- 21 government is alleging that these people are unavailable. If they
- 22 never conducted or tried to conduct the investigation and the
- 23 procedure from the very beginning, when they knew it was going to be

- 1 a criminal prosecution, or throughout this entire time, what they
- 2 have done is taken a -- what I would call in legal terms, deliberate
- 3 ignorance as to where these people were. And, therefore, now they
- 4 can claim they're unavailable so they can use their hearsay
- 5 statements.
- 6 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Your objection is overruled. I'll allow it.
- 7 Go ahead.
- 8 WIT: I'm sorry, sir. Could you repeat the question?
- 9 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: The question was who did you speak to that
- 10 made the previous efforts to locate the individuals.
- 11 WIT: So I spoke to some of the prior case agents who had my
- 12 job before I took this job.
- 13 Q. Do you have the names of any of those agents?
- 14 A. One of them comes to mind, Mary Boese.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. But -- Claire Caetano is another one that comes to mind.
- 17 I don't know all their names.
- 18 Q. And when did you speak to them about their activities in
- 19 the past?
- 20 A. I've had ongoing discussions with all of them since I
- 21 started this position in August 2022.
- 22 O. These documents are information that you say show efforts
- 23 were made to locate witnesses prior to 2019. Do you still have

- 1 access to those?
- 2 A. I do.
- 3 Q. Is there any -- would you be willing to present that to
- 4 the defense and to this court?
- 5 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. That's not her position.
- 6 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Sustained. This isn't the time to request
- 7 the information, Counsel.
- 8 Q. Let me ask you this: Did anything in the documents that
- 9 you reviewed reflected plans to have these Yemeni witnesses testify
- 10 in any way, shape, or form? In a criminal proceeding.
- 11 A. I don't know if you can have plans if you can't find them,
- 12 so I'm not sure how to answer that. I wouldn't characterize it as
- 13 plans.
- 14 Q. Well, how would you characterize it?
- 15 A. Efforts to locate the witnesses. There were efforts to
- 16 locate the witnesses.
- 17 O. There was nothing that you learned that at one point there
- 18 may have been an effort to have them brought to a ship where they
- 19 could testify?
- 20 A. I don't -- I don't know. I don't recall anything of that
- 21 nature.
- 22 Q. Now, let me ask you some questions about -- you said that
- 23 you had identification on some of the people who are listed on AE

- 1 319DDD, correct?
- 2 A. Some possible identifications.
- 3 Q. Okay. Which ones did you have some possible
- 4 identification information?
- 5 A. I don't remember all of the names or declarant numbers
- 6 offhand.
- 7 Q. Do you remember where that identifying information that
- 8 you had on these people who you can't recall right now came from?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. And where did it come from?
- 11 A. Public sources, open sources, and Arabic-language
- 12 sources -- searches conducted by the linguist.
- Q. And that was done when?
- 14 A. Since August 2022, within the last few months.
- 15 Q. I want to ask you the same question prior to August 2022.
- 16 Did you have identifying information, date of birth, passport photos,
- 17 anything, addresses, of any of the people listed on AE 319DDD prior
- 18 to your work?
- 19 A. Did I have any identifying information ----
- 20 O. That's what ----
- 21 A. ---- prior to my work?
- 22 Q. In the documents that you reviewed, was there anything in
- 23 there from the very beginning?

- 1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand "was there anything in
- 2 there."
- 3 Q. All right. Let me ----
- 4 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I'm going to clarify it for you.
- 5 WIT: Thank you, sir.
- 6 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You stated that when you took the job you
- 7 went back and you reviewed the prior work of other agents. When you
- 8 reviewed that prior work, did you find any of the -- any information
- 9 regarding contact information or identifying information for any of
- 10 the witnesses that the government now seeks?
- 11 WIT: Not beyond what was originally collected in the FD-302.
- 12 Q. So does that mean that some of the 302s that were written
- 13 in Yemen of these interviews contained that information?
- 14 A. Some of the 302s ----
- 15 Q. Is that what you said?
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: She didn't find anything other than what
- 17 was ----
- 18 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection.
- 19 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I've got the -- she's saying that the only
- 20 thing that she had was the information that was contained in the 302s
- 21 that you have. There was no other information.
- Is that correct, Agent? I don't want to put words in your
- 23 mouth.

- 1 WIT: It is correct, but there were -- there was a review of
- 2 other documents that had the Yemeni identification numbers, and that
- 3 was not in the 302. That was in a separate document that I located
- 4 in the paper case file.
- 5 Q. So all of that was -- are you saying that was for every
- 6 witness that was listed on AE 319DDD, or just a few?
- 7 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. Confusing question.
- 8 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right.
- 9 LDC [MR. NATALE]: All right. I'll try it slower.
- 10 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Hold on. Counsel, what I'm trying to -- I
- 11 understand -- I think I understand where your questions are. I'm not
- 12 trying to interrupt -- believe me, when I -- do not take me trying to
- 13 clarify as anything of -- other than just trying to help you get the
- 14 information that you need that you want to give to me.
- 15 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Very well.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: And I know that you don't because
- 17 we're -- we're just trying to get the -- the answer to the -- the
- 18 question.
- 19 If you can ask it -- I believe what she has stated is
- 20 that -- and I'm just to summarize here so that you know -- so that
- 21 you can go where you choose from from here.
- The question was when she had looked back at the previous
- 23 information when she first took the job, she -- the question was

- 1 whether or not there was any other identification -- identifying
- 2 information showing the -- the results of searching for them, did she
- 3 find any information that was the result of a search for those
- 4 witnesses prior -- that had been conducted prior for the witnesses,
- 5 correct?
- 6 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Correct.
- 7 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Did you find anything from prior searches by
- 8 the FBI for these witnesses in your files?
- 9 WIT: Yes.
- 10 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Okay. Now pick up from there.
- 11 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Okay.
- 12 Q. What did you find and for what witnesses?
- 13 A. I don't remember what witnesses, but the same sort of
- 14 possible address, possible phone number information.
- 15 Q. Now, am I correct in saying that some of the 302s that
- 16 were conducted in Yemen actually contained identifying information?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Some of them didn't contain the identifying information?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Previously, did you intend to say that they were prevented
- 21 from asking and getting identifying testimony?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. So they were capable of doing it. It's sometimes they did

- 1 and sometimes they didn't, right?
- 2 A. I think they always asked for identifying information, but
- 3 I think that it wasn't always provided as fulsome and thoroughly as
- 4 we might like.
- 5 Q. Well, what I'm asking is date of birth -- is what you're
- 6 saying that at -- that your understanding is every interview when
- 7 they were interviewing someone they expressly asked the basic
- 8 identifying information? Are you saying that?
- 9 A. I was not there. I don't know what was done. But in
- 10 general, an FBI agent does ask those questions when conducting an
- 11 interview.
- 12 Q. And that would be included in the 302?
- 13 A. Yes. If you got an answer, it would be included.
- 14 Q. Did you inquire as to the people who did the interviews
- 15 where there was no information regarding identification, that they
- 16 actually asked that question and were told no, I'm not going to give
- 17 it to you or you can't answer that?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. In looking at the document, you don't know if maybe some
- 20 of the people just didn't ask, correct?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. So it would be wrong for anyone to infer that for all of
- 23 the people they interviewed, this information at the time was asked?

- 1 A. I'm sorry. It would be wrong to infer?
- 2 Q. That every agent asked every witness this identifying
- 3 information.
- 4 A. I have no way of knowing if every agent asked for this
- 5 identifying information.
- Q. And so therefore you cannot testify that in the first
- 7 instance, the attempt was even made, correct?
- 8 A. I cannot. I wasn't there.
- 9 Q. Now, since the initial interviews, how many instances do
- 10 you recall where in the documentation it shows there was attempts to
- 11 locate these people for purposes of testifying?
- 12 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection, asked and answered. We've
- 13 covered this.
- 14 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Defense?
- 15 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I think I'm now specific -- I'm -- okay.
- 16 If you want me to clarify, I'm clarifying about which ones of anybody
- 17 did -- did it reflect that they tried.
- 18 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. I'm still pretty confident
- 19 it's asked and answered.
- 20 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Overruled.
- 21 For which witnesses did they seek to locate? Which
- 22 witnesses did they seek to locate?
- 23 WIT: They sought to locate all of the witnesses.

- 1 Q. And you're saying that that is contained in the documents
- 2 that you looked at?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And that is on how many occasions?
- 5 A. I don't know a precise number.
- 6 Q. Would the only way you could give a precise number is if
- 7 you were able to review the records?
- 8 A. That would be the best way.
- 9 Q. Well, I see you hesitating. Is there any other way that
- 10 you would suggest to try to find out that information?
- 11 A. I could interview or talk to every other person who's been
- 12 the case agent for this investigation, every member of the team, and
- 13 assess what their recollection might be. But I believe the best way
- 14 would be to review the documentation to get a precise number.
- 15 Q. And would you agree that where efforts were not made, that
- 16 it made your job infinitely more difficult to locate these people in
- 17 2000 -- when did you come on? 2019?
- 18 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: '22.
- 19 A. '22 is when I came on, sir.
- I don't know that it would make my job any more difficult.
- 21 The passage of time over 20 years has made my job difficult enough.
- 22 O. Let me make sure I understand this. So if you had all of
- 23 the standard identifying information that you would normally have in

- 1 a 302 witness, are you saying that your task to identify and locate
- 2 these people would be just as difficult?
- 3 A. No. I must have misunderstood your previous question. If
- 4 I had all the identifying information from the original FD-302, my
- 5 job would be easier.
- 6 Q. Now, you mentioned two agents that you did speak to that
- 7 were involved in these past efforts.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did they tell you what specific steps they took and
- 10 when they took them?
- 11 A. I had that from the documentation, so I didn't need to ask
- 12 them specifically, but the steps they took were similar to the steps
- 13 I'm taking.
- Q. And when you say similar, you've done a -- what do you
- 15 mean by similar? How much? The same exact things you've done? Two
- 16 or three of the things you've done?
- 17 A. I mean similar. Similar in the sense that the
- 18 public-source databases that are the paid-for services might not have
- 19 been exactly the same. It may have been a different company that the
- 20 FBI used for those public-source searches. They may have used other
- 21 search engines for their searches. But they did do similar things in
- 22 that sense.
- 23 Q. And you're saying that because that's recorded in the

- 1 documentation you read?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So it would be very -- as specific as the documentation
- 4 that you've made as far as what you've done?
- 5 A. My documentation is more specific.
- Q. At any time prior to today, anytime, are you aware if
- 7 there was any attempt for an agent to travel to Yemen in order to try
- 8 to identify/locate so these people could be called in the future?
- 9 A. I'm not aware of any attempt to travel to Yemen.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of whether in 2003 people could travel to
- 11 Yemen?
- 12 A. I believe they could in 2003, but I'm not certain.
- 13 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection, relevance, given this case
- 14 didn't even exist in 2003.
- 15 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Overruled.
- 16 Q. 2004, people could still travel?
- 17 A. I believe they could.
- 18 Q. 2005 ----
- 19 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: That's the next one.
- 20 Q. ---- '6 and '7?
- 21 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel, is your question when did it
- 22 become -- when did travel become impermissible or impractical?
- LDC [MR. NATALE]: I could do it stylistically that way.

- 1 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I don't know that it's just a style. I'm
- 2 just trying to ----
- 3 Q. When ----
- 4 A. In twenty ----
- 5 Q. When was the date, to your understanding, that people
- 6 couldn't go to Yemen anymore?
- 7 A. I believe the U.S. embassy closed in 2015.
- 8 Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that anytime before
- 9 that, people could go to Yemen?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware of when the criminal case was
- 12 initiated against Mr. Nashiri?
- 13 A. In this court?
- 14 Q. Let's start with this court, yeah.
- 15 A. I believe so.
- Okay. What was the date?
- 17 A. I believe, if I'm not mistaken, the charges may have been
- 18 filed in 2011 or 2013. I'm not positive.
- 19 Q. Okay. That would be -- both of those dates would be
- 20 during the time when people could still go to Yemen, correct?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- 22 Q. Specifically, do you have any recollection as to whether
- 23 efforts were made after the filing of this case, this death penalty

- 1 case -- that any efforts were made to go to Yemen to locate these
- 2 people?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Have you tried to find out?
- 5 A. I have reviewed the file. I don't know at this moment for
- 6 the time frame you're specifying.
- 7 Q. So I understand your testimony, at this point in time as
- 8 the lead case agent, you don't know what efforts to locate these
- 9 witnesses in Yemen has occurred since the filing of these charges?
- 10 A. I believe I have told you what efforts have been made, but
- 11 I don't know with specificity for the time frame you're asking about.
- 12 Q. My question -- my question is a very different question.
- 13 It's specific to from the filing of the indictment -- which you're
- 14 aware would be criminal charges, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You're aware that's what the charges are. They're
- 17 criminal.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that there was a need to have witnesses called in
- 20 order to prove that case. You're aware of that too?
- 21 A. Of course.
- 22 Q. Your review of the records doesn't really reflect whether
- 23 from 2011 up to when you came on to the case that they tried to

- 1 locate these witnesses, right?
- 2 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. It's a confusing question.
- 3 I'm not sure what time period that counsel is referring to.
- 4 O. From 2011 to 2015.
- 5 A. I don't know if the efforts that I have been talking about
- 6 that were conducted happened in that time period specifically.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you have no recollection right now of any
- 8 efforts that were made during the time frame of 2011 to 2015 to go to
- 9 Yemen and locate these people? You either know it or you don't. Do
- 10 you?
- 11 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection, asked and answered. The
- 12 question -- she just said that.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel, is that different from what you
- 14 just ----
- 15 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I want to make sure ----
- 16 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I'm counting that this is the third time
- 17 you've asked that question, and she ----
- 18 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I want to make sure that it's clear because
- 19 the witness keeps adding, well, it was similar to, there was these
- 20 other things, and I want to tie it down.
- 21 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: My question is are you talking about the
- 22 efforts that the -- are you asking her if there were efforts to
- 23 travel there from 2011 ----

- 1 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Yes.
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: --- and '15?
- 3 LDC [MR. NATALE]: That's precisely my question.
- 4 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Okay, because to find them, remember, could
- 5 be database searches or to be the other. I think that's the
- 6 question.
- Were there any efforts to your knowledge from 2011 to 2015,
- 8 Agent, for anybody to go to Yemen and locate these witnesses?
- 9 WIT: I don't know.
- 10 Q. Did you make any inquiries to find out?
- 11 A. I haven't made inquiries for that particular time frame.
- 12 Q. Now, are you familiar with a red notice?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. A red notice is something that Interpol can put which
- 15 would alert law enforcement authorities as to the names of certain
- 16 individuals, right?
- 17 A. There's other requirements in addition to that, yes.
- 18 Q. But that's what the red notice does?
- 19 A. In part.
- 20 Q. Okay. At any time, to your knowledge, has the FBI, in an
- 21 effort to try to locate these people, put or requested a red notice?
- A. A red notice would not be appropriate for these witnesses.
- 23 Q. Is there any mechanism -- are you saying -- is there any

- 1 mechanism that Interpol could do to either research or to place some
- 2 type of notice regarding the movement of any of the individuals that
- 3 are on this list?
- 4 A. I don't know precisely what all of the different colored
- 5 notices are that Interpol can place and what all the different
- 6 requirements are for each notice sitting here today. I don't know
- 7 with any certainty.
- 8 Q. Have you made any request or looked into any aid in any
- 9 form, based on whatever color, from Interpol to help with identifying
- 10 these people?
- 11 A. I would go back to my discussion of least-intrusive to
- 12 most-intrusive techniques. So I'm applying the least-intrusive
- 13 techniques to try to locate these witnesses. Placing an Interpol
- 14 notice on these witnesses would be fairly intrusive and could result
- 15 in people with a similar name having contact with law enforcement
- 16 that might not be welcome.
- 17 So I'm still working on a least-intrusive-to-
- 18 most-intrusive continuum in accordance with the Attorney General
- 19 quidelines, and I don't believe that Interpol level of intrusive
- 20 technique is appropriate at this point in my efforts to locate the
- 21 witnesses.
- 22 Q. That's your explanation. I'm asking you: Did you do
- 23 anything?

- 1 A. Did I do anything with regard to ----
- 2 Q. At the request of Interpol?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Not why you made it ----
- 5 A. Nope. No, I have not.
- 6 Q. Is that something, your concern about the privacy of
- 7 individuals, at least at this point, would take priority over the
- 8 locating of witnesses in a death penalty case?
- 9 A. I've not spent time evaluating that. I don't know.
- 10 Q. What would trigger you to do more assertive
- 11 messages -- methods to try to locate these people? You know what I
- 12 mean when I say what's going to trigger it?
- 13 A. When following up on leads that I already have, based upon
- 14 the partial matches from public source and open source, it would
- 15 trigger a more intensive or intrusive method if I were to get some
- 16 positive match that I would have with more certainty than what I have
- 17 now.
- Q. Well, you have certain information. What have you done to
- 19 pursue whether the information you have on any of these people on the
- 20 list is or is not the person who was interviewed by the FBI?
- 21 A. I think I've described everything that I have done so far
- 22 on direct examination.
- 23 Q. Which of the individuals on the list that you have in some

- 1 ways received a hit on have you gone or done anything else to
- 2 determine if they are one and the same? What have you done?
- 3 A. So it's an ongoing process. We've made phone calls to the
- 4 U.S.-based numbers that have come up. We have compared the years of
- 5 birth and the dates of birth with the year of birth or date of birth
- 6 in the FD-302. We've asked Customs and Border Protection and State
- 7 Department. And we're continuing to conduct these searches.
- 8 Q. The searches that you've conducted regarding the leads
- 9 that you have just identified, have they definitively shown that that
- 10 lead is not the person who was interviewed by the FBI in Yemen?
- 11 A. Some of the results of the searches have shown that, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about the searches that haven't
- 13 shown that. When I say "that," what I mean is haven't shown that
- 14 they can be eliminated.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Do you understand the question?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Regarding those people who have not been eliminated, tell
- 19 us what has been done. Not what you intend to do, but what you have
- 20 done to the date of now, when you're testifying.
- 21 A. I feel like I've already done that, that I've already
- 22 explained what I have done from the date that I started this position
- 23 until now, so I'm not sure what more you want me to talk about or

- 1 in -- in what more detail.
- Q. Here's what I'm asking you.
- 3 A. All right.
- 4 Q. You have said that you had certain identifying
- 5 information, you've done some research and investigation, and you
- 6 eliminated those people as being the same people that are on the
- 7 list, correct?
- 8 A. There are some people we've eliminated, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. There are people who you have identified but you
- 10 haven't eliminated as of today, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. Those people, the people who you've identified
- 13 but have not eliminated, what, other than identifying, have you done
- 14 to confirm whether or not they are the person that you're looking
- 15 for, in addition to what you did to locate them -- to identify them?
- 16 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection, asked and answered. The
- 17 witness ----
- 18 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel.
- 19 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: --- has said that -- she described it.
- 20 If he wants more detail, ask for detail.
- 21 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: She said that she did the searches ----
- LDC [MR. NATALE]: Okay.
- 23 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- the phone calls, and the ----

- 1 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Let me ask ----
- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- the searches and phone calls. Is there
- 3 a question that's more specific than that?
- 4 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Yes.
- 5 Q. Regarding any of the people who you have identified and
- 6 you haven't eliminated, did you go to where you believe they might
- 7 live and see if they are the person?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you contact either local FBI or police departments to
- 10 inquire if the person is, in fact, the same person you're looking
- 11 for?
- 12 A. No, not yet, but that's still possible.
- 13 Q. I'm asking you what you've done. The question will -- you
- 14 may say that I intend to do it, but right now I'm asking.
- 15 So all the questions I'm going to ask now are about what
- 16 you've done up to this point. Is that clear?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Up to this point, have you tried to contact any
- 19 employment -- that you may have -- information regarding these
- 20 people?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Up to this point, has anyone just did a drive-by and not
- 23 knocked on the door to see if this might be the person that you're

- 1 looking for?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And these particular people are people who could be in the
- 4 United States?
- 5 A. Highly unlikely.
- Q. Okay. Well, wherever these people are located, have you
- 7 asked any of their local law enforcement to help to confirm whether
- 8 they're the same person?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. But that's something that you would agree should be done
- in order to determine whether they're the right person or not?
- 12 A. I don't agree.
- 13 Q. Then how are you going to know that this person is the
- 14 same person that you're looking for if you don't do any of those
- 15 things?
- 16 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. Mischaracterizes the
- 17 witness's testimony.
- 18 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Defense?
- 19 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I don't think it does.
- 20 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: "Any of those things."
- 21 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Can you clarify what you mean, Counsel?
- LDC [MR. NATALE]: Okay.
- Q. If you don't send somebody to knock on their door, if you

- 1 don't send somebody to drive by and try to observe the person, if you
- 2 don't ask for any help from law enforcement or any other means on the
- 3 ground, how is it that you would be able to know whether that person
- 4 is or is not the person you're looking for?
- 5 A. I'm not going to advance to those intrusive techniques
- 6 until I have a little bit more certainty that it's valuable and
- 7 fruitful.
- 8 Customs and Border Protection and State Department checks
- 9 were negative for all of these individuals that I had partial
- 10 identifiers for. That tells me that they are not likely to be in the
- 11 United States and that the person I located in the United States is
- 12 not likely to be identifiable with the Yemeni witness I'm looking
- 13 for.
- Q. But wouldn't it be at least confirmation? Sometimes
- 15 people travel under false names, they change their names, they modify
- 16 it a little bit. Certainly, as an FBI agent, you've seen those sort
- 17 of cases?
- 18 A. I have.
- 19 Q. What would trigger the more, what you call, intrusive
- 20 activity?
- 21 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection, asked and answered.
- 22 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Sustained.
- 23 Q. For people outside of the United States, what additional

- 1 information do you need before you can eliminate them as the person
- 2 who you're looking for?
- 3 A. I would like further identifiers on the people outside the
- 4 country. I'd like to know if any of the foreign telephone numbers
- 5 were answered by the person I'm seeking or by a person who knows who
- 6 that is and where that person might be.
- 7 Q. Have you done that?
- 8 A. That's in process. I've made that request to the
- 9 assistant legal attaché.
- 10 Q. My question is not what you intend to do or what may
- 11 happen. Have you done that to this date?
- 12 A. I personally have not called the foreign phone numbers. I
- 13 have asked that it be done.
- Q. Do you have any response regarding the foreign activity
- 15 that you requested?
- 16 A. They -- the assistant legal attaché agreed to do it and,
- 17 as I understand, has started to call those phone numbers, with
- 18 limited success.
- 19 Q. Okay. But -- I'll ask you: Do you know which particular
- 20 people?
- 21 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. Have you asked for the help of any U.S. -- and I'm
- 23 not asking to identify which groups, but any U.S. intelligence agency

- 1 to aid in the identification and possible location of these
- 2 individuals?
- 3 A. If State Department can be considered an intelligence
- 4 agency or if Customs and Border Protection can be, then yes.
- 5 Q. Other than them, did you -- and I don't need you to tell
- 6 me the names -- did you request any other U.S.
- 7 intelligent -- intelligence agencies to help you in locating these
- 8 people?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Based on all that you've done, are you aware that
- 11 oftentimes U.S. intelligence agencies have access to more information
- 12 than maybe you would as an FBI agent?
- 13 A. I am aware.
- 14 Q. That they even could have assets or other intel, means of
- 15 gathering information than you have?
- 16 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection to both relevance and
- 17 speculation.
- 18 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I'm asking if she knows. She said she's
- 19 been on the counterterrorism stuff.
- 20 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I understand. Are you suggesting that
- 21 she -- okay. What's your question for her? Ask the question.
- 22 Q. Have you ----
- 23 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: She said that she has not asked any U.S.

- 1 intelligence agencies.
- Q. Are you aware that U.S. intelligence agencies have the
- 3 capacity to collect information in foreign countries? Are you aware
- 4 of that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Have you requested any of the agencies that you know ----
- 7 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection, asked and answered.
- 8 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Sustained.
- 9 Q. You haven't asked any of these people?
- 10 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I sustained your objection, Counsel.
- 12 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, he continued to try and ask
- 13 the question. That's why I objected again.
- 14 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel. Counsel.
- 15 Q. You said that certain foreign governments refused. What
- 16 specifically did you ask them? Did you tell them you were conducting
- 17 an investigation in a death penalty case?
- 18 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Objection. If we need to go into any
- 19 of this, we need to go into a classified session.
- 20 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I'm not asking which place. I'm just
- 21 saying if that was the request.
- 22 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel, it -- your question kind of started
- 23 one way and then maybe shifted another.

- 1 Did you -- did you -- when you made any request to foreign
- 2 governments, did you inform them that you were working on a death
- 3 penalty, a capital case?
- WIT: I don't believe that was part of the information
- 5 provided in the request, no.
- Q. And would those requests that you made have been
- 7 documented?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And would their response be documented?
- 10 A. If we received a response, yes.
- 11 O. And how many refusals did you receive versus
- 12 non-responses? If you know.
- 13 A. I don't remember specifically the ratio between those
- 14 refusals and non-responses.
- 15 Q. Did the refusals give you any reason why they were
- 16 refusing?
- 17 A. That's possible. I don't remember the reasons that were
- 18 given specifically. I would have to speculate, which I don't want to
- 19 do.
- 20 Q. With these country -- these other foreign entities, have
- 21 you requested those governments -- and I'm not asking who they
- 22 are -- whether FBI agents could try to locate people there, any of
- 23 these witnesses there?

- 1 A. Have I requested whether FBI agents could travel there, do
- 2 you mean?
- 3 Q. Yeah, travel there to conduct the investigation in
- 4 conjunction with the local law enforcement.
- 5 A. That was not part of the request.
- 6 Q. Okay. So you have not asked that?
- 7 A. We have not asked whether FBI agents could travel to those
- 8 foreign countries.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of whether FBI agents have been allowed to
- 10 travel to foreign countries to conduct investigations in terrorist
- 11 cases?
- 12 A. If you're speaking about all terrorist cases, yes, FBI
- 13 agents have traveled to foreign countries to investigate terrorist
- 14 cases.
- 15 Q. So based on your experience, it's possible that, if asked,
- 16 they could go to these countries?
- 17 A. Yes. In fact, many of them already are in the countries
- 18 through the presence of our legal attaché offices attached to the
- 19 embassies of those foreign countries.
- 20 Q. And those that are present in country, have they been
- 21 dispatched -- the FBI people been actually dispatched to
- 22 locate -- try to locate these people?
- 23 A. That's not how it works in a sovereign country.

- 1 Q. So the FBI agents can only search or look in the country
- 2 and talk to people that the foreign country says they can?
- 3 A. It would have to be an agreement with the foreign country,
- 4 their law enforcement or government service.
- 5 Q. Do you know if any of the people on the ground in any of
- 6 those countries went to their counterparts in the countries and
- 7 asked?
- 8 A. Of course they did. That's how I got my request -- that's
- 9 how the FBI got their request to the foreign country, through the FBI
- 10 representatives in that country.
- 11 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Your Honor, could I have a moment?
- 12 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: You may.
- 13 [Counsel conferred.]
- 14 LDC [MR. NATALE]: I have no further questions.
- 15 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Government, any redirect?
- 16 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 Questions by the Assistant Trial Counsel [LCDR SCHREIBER]:
- 19 Q. I apologize in advance. I'm going to jump around a little
- 20 bit on some of these topics.
- I think you indicated, both on direct and on cross, that
- 22 some of the 302s that you reviewed had some information about -- to
- 23 identify a person, like a birthday or an address, right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And did some of them have more and some have less?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You also said on direct that you had -- I mean, you've
- 5 been to Afghanistan. You've been in the Middle East; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are you familiar, I mean, just having been there, with
- 8 sort of the general cultural of those countries?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it common for ----
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Hold on. There's an objection.
- 12 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Objection, relevance and foundation.
- 13 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: I'm asking about her direct knowledge
- 14 of the culture there and what she saw in terms of -- I'm going to ask
- 15 about addresses, birthdays, things like that.
- 16 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Your Honor, it's beyond the scope of my
- 17 cross-examination, and I'm not sure what's the relevance of her
- 18 experience, and she said she had nothing to do with the interviewing
- 19 of these people.
- 20 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Overruled. I'll allow it. Go ahead.
- 21 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Thank you.
- 22 Q. Is it uncommon, in your experience and observation, for
- 23 people in those countries to not have an address in the way that we

- 1 might think of it, something very specific, to be able to locate
- 2 them?
- 3 A. It's not uncommon ----
- 4 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Your Honor, could we have the specific
- 5 countries? When he says those question -- those countries, what
- 6 countries? I have no idea what ----
- 7 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel, let me answer before you keep
- 8 going. All counsel, when I -- when I say something -- and
- 9 this -- just give me a second.
- 10 Government, the objection is sustained. Can you clarify
- 11 which country that she has the experience to which you're referring
- 12 to?
- 13 Q. Special Agent Sonnen, can you run off a list of the
- 14 countries in the Middle East and in Central Asia that you have worked
- 15 in and have experience in as a -- as an FBI special agent?
- 16 A. In Jordan and Afghanistan, specifically in that region.
- 17 O. Okay. Both of those are Arabic-speaking countries; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A. Some Arabic in Afghanistan, but other languages too.
- 20 Q. Okay. So in your experience in those two countries, in
- 21 those potentially Arabic-speaking countries, birthdays, do people
- 22 typically -- is it uncommon for somebody to not know a specific
- 23 birthday for themselves or their family?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. So it's not uncommon ----
- 3 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Objection to relevance because we're
- 4 talking about Yemen. We're not talking about Afghanistan or Jordan.
- 5 These are witnesses that were in Yemen.
- 6 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I got it. Government, is there ----
- 7 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, I'm going to move on from
- 8 here. This is -- this witness's observation and her -- the countries
- 9 in which she had experience, I'd ask Your Honor to give it the
- 10 appropriate weight.
- 11 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: It has. It's also been presented by
- 12 other -- numerous other witnesses that had experience in the region
- 13 that those are not commonly ----
- 14 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yeah.
- 15 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: ---- retained things, such as the addresses
- 16 and the -- and the birthdays. Please move on.
- 17 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm just going
- 18 to ask you to consider that information as well.
- 19 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: That's later for argument, but
- 20 whatever -- whatever you can present for argument at sometime, I will
- 21 consider. Go ahead.
- 22 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 Q. I want to pose -- on the issue of the prior attempts to

- 1 find these individuals conducted by the FBI in -- say, around the
- 2 2015 time frame I think is what you identified, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Let's say, just as a hypothetical, that those efforts had
- 5 actually managed to locate one of the individuals on that list.
- 6 Would you -- in 2019 through 2022, would you have still done the same
- 7 kinds of searches to reconfirm that individual, find them?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So for what you were tasked with, would it have mattered
- 10 whether that person was found in 2015?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You've mentioned a couple of times most intrusive to
- 13 least -- or, excuse me, least intrusive to most intrusive methods.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Is that an official sort of guidance or standard or did
- 16 you just come up with that yourself?
- 17 A. It's official.
- 18 Q. From where?
- 19 A. The Attorney General guidelines and the FBI's Domestic
- 20 Investigations and Operations guidelines.
- 21 Q. Defense counsel asked you a couple of times about if you
- 22 had knocked on somebody's door or sent somebody to somebody's home to
- 23 observe whether they were there.

- 1 How would you characterize going to someone's home or
- 2 putting an agent outside of a space to see if they see somebody?
- 3 What would that be, you know, least or most intrusive?
- A. It's very intrusive, most intrusive.
- 5 Q. For -- we talked about this a little bit, but for folks
- 6 you have identified potentially an address -- or, actually, let me
- 7 start first.
- 8 You searched these folks -- some of these folks in
- 9 open-source database, public-source database, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And found for some of them potentially a phone number
- 12 attached to the name, right? Have you called all those phone
- 13 numbers?
- 14 A. We have.
- 15 Q. Okay. So any phone numbers you've found, you've called?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Found some addresses potentially linked to those
- 18 people ----
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. ---- right?
- 21 Comparing calling -- picking up the phone and calling a
- 22 number to see if somebody is there versus sending somebody to that
- 23 address, what's the difference there between most or least intrusive

- 1 efforts?
- 2 A. It's less intrusive to pick up the phone and call a phone
- 3 number. It's more intrusive to visit a home address.
- Q. Given that you have a name attached to an address that you
- 5 just found searching the web, do you -- at this point, do you know if
- 6 that's the person that we're -- that was interviewed in 2001, 2000?
- 7 A. I do not.
- 8 Q. So would it be intrusive to go and just knock on that
- 9 random address and find out if that's the person we're looking for?
- 10 A. It would be.
- 11 Q. Were there some of the folks you looked for up to this
- 12 point on that list for which you have found absolutely no open,
- 13 public-source information on at all?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So it's fair to say that there's nothing more to follow on
- 16 them, correct?
- 17 A. I can continue to do those searches in case some fresh
- 18 record were to be available in Google that had not previously
- 19 populated. But there is nothing further to do at this point,
- 20 correct.
- 21 Q. You mentioned -- the defense counsel asked you about
- 22 contacting Interpol to locate folks overseas ----
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. ---- specifically.
- 2 If you were to give -- actually, I want to back up
- 3 one -- one question to the prior section there.
- If I asked you to go find -- to search -- use the FBI
- 5 resources to search for Mary Sonnen, and that's all I gave you, just
- 6 a name, and I said I need you to find Mary Sonnen, would you go knock
- 7 on the door of everybody in America with the name Mary Sonnen?
- 8 A. I would not.
- 9 Q. Would that be intrusive for all those Mary Sonnens?
- 10 A. It would.
- 11 Q. So for the Interpol notice, if -- if you give a name to
- 12 Interpol and ask for that person to be found, is that like asking
- 13 other law enforcement to go and seek people?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Could that, in certain countries, result in, you know, not
- 16 U.S. -- maybe unfriendly or ----
- 17 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Your Honor, objection. Lack of foundation.
- 18 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, defense counsel asked her
- 19 about ----
- 20 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Counsel, hold on.
- 21 LDC [MR. NATALE]: Lack of foundation.
- 22 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: I've got your objection. Government.
- 23 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Your Honor, defense counsel asked her

- 1 if she's familiar with Interpol. I'm asking her if she's familiar
- 2 with Interpol and what the result of asking ----
- 3 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right.
- 4 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: I mean, she said she is.
- 5 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Okay. Overruled.
- 6 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Thank you, sir.
- 7 Q. If you gave a name to Interpol and said please go find
- 8 this individual, could that result in foreign law enforcement or
- 9 governments going to the homes of people who may be -- you know,
- 10 anybody who matches that name?
- 11 A. It could, yes.
- 12 Q. Could that potentially be dangerous for those people?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Those people who might not be the one you're searching
- 15 for?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. If you had -- in the searches that you've done so far,
- 18 have you had any indication for any of the names on that list, that
- 19 those individuals were located in a country other than Yemen
- 20 currently?
- 21 A. We found some name matches for individuals who have the
- 22 same name but have a passport from a different country.
- 23 Q. And have you done additional searches to determine -- like

- 1 additional data-matching to see if that might be the person we're
- 2 searching for?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And did any of those come up that you ----
- 5 A. No.
- Q. ---- that the -- so the searching that you've done, it
- 7 doesn't appear those are the people we're searching for?
- 8 A. It doesn't appear. It seems unlikely that it's the person
- 9 that we're searching for.
- 10 Q. If you had information that made it seem likely that the
- 11 person that we were seeking was in some country other than the
- 12 United States or Yemen, which we've already established that we've
- 13 requested, would you go to that country again and ask for their
- 14 assistance to contact that person?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. The defense asked you about sort of eliminating people off
- 17 of this list. I want to just ask you: Are you trying to eliminate
- 18 people from that list?
- 19 A. No, I'm trying to find them.
- 20 Q. And again, are those efforts to find these people ongoing?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Are you taking all the practical and logical steps that
- 23 you can think of to do that?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Up to this point, have you found any of them?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: That's all I have, Your Honor.
- 5 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Government, permanent for the
- 6 purposes of this motion, or temporary?
- 7 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: Sorry. I was pressing the wrong
- 8 button, sir. For the purpose of this session, certainly on the
- 9 purpose of this motion, 319 ----
- 10 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: She might be called back.
- 11 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: She may be called back for those
- 12 continuing efforts, but for this session, yes, sir, permanent.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: When you say this session, not just this
- 14 week, but all of next week as well, correct?
- 15 ATC [LCDR SCHREIBER]: That's correct. We have nothing to
- 16 offer from Special Agent Sonnen next week.
- MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. Agent Sonnen, for the purposes
- 18 of this session, you are permanently excused. Do not discuss your
- 19 testimony or your knowledge of this case with anyone other than
- 20 counsel for either side. You can step down and return to your
- 21 duties.
- 22 WIT: Thank you.
- 23 [The witness was warned, was permanently excused and withdrew from

1 the RHR.]

- 2 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right, Counsel. We're going to -- I
- 3 believe that's all we have before we take up the closed session with
- 4 Dr. Crosby for the government's -- what they believe to be a
- 5 classified cross-examination question and then follow-up with
- 6 the -- with the defense redirect.
- 7 Is there anything else to take up in open session before we
- 8 close for the day and then for -- close publicly for the remainder of
- 9 the week -- for the remainder of the day, which is the remainder of
- 10 the week? Government?
- TC [MR. O'SULLIVAN]: No, Your Honor.
- 12 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: Defense?
- 13 LDC [MR. NATALE]: No, Your Honor.
- 14 MJ [COL ACOSTA]: All right. We'll recess until -- what we'll
- 15 do is we'll recess now and come back at 1300 for the closed session
- 16 with Dr. Crosby on the stand.
- 17 The commission is in recess until 1300 for a closed session.
- 18 [The R.M.C. 803 session recessed at 1055, 24 February 2023.]
- 19 [END OF PAGE]